

sure and lifecycle impact assessment in order to arrive at a characterization and calculation of risk and environmental impact across product lifecycle. The Committee requires that alternative or replacement chemicals also adhere to the same methodology, and EPA shall also integrate these elements into all other DfE program operations, including the labeling/certification program. e-Enterprise Initiative.—The Committee understands that EPA and the States have established a joint governance structure in order to modernize and streamline operations over a multi-year period. The Committee principally supports proposals that mitigate operational costs for EPA, increase efficiencies for States and reduce burden for the regulated community. Given the scope of the proposed Initiative, multi-year cost estimates are necessary to inform decisions that will require appropriations over multiple fiscal years. Further, while the benefits of some proposals are well documented, estimates of burden reduction or other efficiencies for several proposed projects are largely unknown. Therefore, to support the fiscal year 2015 request, the Agency is directed to submit a multi-year plan to the Committee that includes outyear cost estimates for the proposals in the Initiative along with known, quantifiable estimates of efficiencies or savings. The Committee will continue to evaluate information as it is supplied.

Pending herbicide registrations.—The Committee is aware that EPA will soon reevaluate existing registrations for herbicides that may not actively degrade until long after their intended use. As EPA reviews the registrations for these products, the Committee encourages EPA to explore options for limiting indirect impacts on other industries, including strengthened labeling, disclosure, and support for additional education of primary users of these products.

Social Cost of Carbon.—The Committee understands that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) is currently reviewing the process the Administration used to develop estimates to calculate the social cost of carbon. The Committee believes that the Administration should not allow any regulations to be finalized using the Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866, Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Carbon, United States Government, May 2013 until public comments on the document have been evaluated, the GAO report has been submitted and reviewed, and any necessary changes to the technical support document are incorporated.

HAZARDOUS WASTE ELECTRONIC MANIFEST SYSTEM FUND

This account supports all activities necessary for the development of the system established by the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest Establishment Act (P.L. 112–195).

Appropriation enacted, 2014	\$3,674,000
Budget estimate, 2015	10,423,000
Recommended, 2015	5,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2014	+1,326,000
Budget estimate, 2015	–5,423,000

The Committee recommends \$5,000,000 for the development of an electronic manifest system pursuant to P.L. 112–195. The Committee directs EPA to move forward expeditiously with system de-